

# Document Review Checklist

- Every document that will be shared with anyone outside of DEQ staff must go through management review. This includes reports and PowerPoint presentations.
- The Notice of Rulemaking and EQC Staff Report must also be reviewed and approved by the relevant Division Administrator.
- Each required reviewer should add their name and the date when they complete their final review and approve the document for distribution.

<b>Document Review Sign Off Sheet – EQC Staff Report</b>				
<b>Reviewer</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Date</b>
Program Mgr.				
DA				
Communications				
ARC or AQRC (min. 3 business days)				



State of Oregon Department of Environmental Quality

# Oregon Environmental Quality Commission Meeting

November 18, 2021

## Rulemaking Action Item H

Oakridge-Westfir PM<sub>2.5</sub> Redesignation Request and PM<sub>2.5</sub> Maintenance Plan

### Table of Contents

DEQ Recommendation to the EQC.....	1
Introduction .....	2
Statement of need .....	4
Rules affected, authorities, supporting documents.....	5
Fee Analysis.....	6
Statement of fiscal and economic impact.....	7
Federal relationship.....	11
Land use .....	13
EQC Prior Involvement.....	14
Advisory Committee .....	15
Public Engagement .....	17
Implementation.....	22
Five Year Review .....	23
Accessibility Information.....	24

# DEQ Recommendation to the EQC

DEQ recommends that the Environmental Quality Commission adopt the proposed Oakridge-Westfir PM<sub>2.5</sub> Maintenance Plan and rules in Attachment A as part of Chapter 340 of the Oregon Administrative Rules.

## **Language of Proposed EQC Motion:**

*“I move that the commission adopt the proposed rule amendments in Attachment A as part of chapter 340 of the Oregon Administrative Rules.”*

DEQ recommends that the Environmental Quality Commission:  
Adopt the proposed Oakridge-Westfir PM<sub>2.5</sub> Maintenance Plan and rules in Attachment A as part of chapter 340 of the Oregon Administrative Rules; and

Approve incorporating these rule amendments into the Oregon Clean Air Act State Implementation Plan under OAR 340-200-0040; and

Direct DEQ to submit the SIP revision to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency for approval.

# Introduction

DEQ and the Lane Regional Air Protection Agency (LRAPA) propose permanent rule amendments to chapter 340 of the Oregon Administrative Rules to redesignate the Oakridge-Westfir airshed as attainment for the national air quality health standards for fine particles (PM<sub>2.5</sub>); and, include a 10-year maintenance plan to keep air quality within the PM<sub>2.5</sub> health standards.

## Request for Other Options

Oregon must update its Clean Air Act State Implementation Plan to document that DEQ has the authority, regulations, and enforcement capability to implement the current National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for PM<sub>2.5</sub>. In addition to the redesignation of the Westfir-Oakridge airshed into attainment, a 10-year maintenance plan provides assurance that air quality programs are adequate to prevent future violation of the NAAQS.

## Overview

DEQ and LRAPA propose a revision to the State of Oregon Clean Air Act Implementation Plan, referred to as the State implementation Plan (SIP) under OAR 340-200-0040. This proposed revision would:

- Redesignate the Oakridge-Westfir airshed as attainment for the national air quality health standards for fine particles (PM<sub>2.5</sub>); and
- Include a 10-year maintenance plan to keep air quality within the PM<sub>2.5</sub> health standards.

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) adopted more protective PM<sub>2.5</sub> health standards in 2006 as part of its periodic review of National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) to ensure protection of public health. The Oakridge-Westfir airshed was identified as an area not meeting the PM<sub>2.5</sub> health standards on worst winter days, and was designated as a PM<sub>2.5</sub> nonattainment area in 2009.

The Oakridge-Westfir attainment plan was successful in achieving the PM<sub>2.5</sub> health standards on schedule in 2014-2016. EPA recognized compliance with the 3-year PM<sub>2.5</sub> standard and approved the Oakridge-Westfir attainment plan in February 2018.

The SIP revision provides for maintenance of the NAAQS for at least 10 years after redesignation. The 10-year maintenance period will begin on the effective date of the EPA approval, which is approximately 30 days after the redesignation request is approved by EPA in the Federal Register (e.g., if EPA approves the redesignation request in the Federal Register in 2023, the 10-year maintenance plan will ensure continued attainment in the area until 2033). The effective date will be clearly stated in the Final Federal Register notice.

Health impacts: There are a number of studies linking PM<sub>2.5</sub> effects with respiratory causes and cardiac diseases. U.S. and Canadian studies report statistically significant relationships between

an increase in PM<sub>2.5</sub> and an increase in hospitalizations for all respiratory causes, including chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, pneumonia and asthma. In addition to the greatly expanded body of evidence on hospitalization or emergency department visits for cardiovascular diseases, new epidemiologic studies have also reported associations between more subtle physiological changes in the cardiovascular system and short-term exposures to PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations (EPA, 2004, p. 9–67). LRAPA expects that the proposed maintenance plan will have a positive effect on the health of Oakridge residents.

# **Statement of need**

## **What need would the proposed Maintenance Plan address?**

The Oakridge-Westfir PM<sub>2.5</sub> Attainment Plan was adopted by LRAPA in 2016 and approved by EPA in February 2018. This proposed redesignation request outlines the specific actions taken in the Oakridge-Westfir area to successfully meet the federal Clean Air Act requirements and includes a maintenance plan to continue the critical air pollution control strategies during 2015-2035.

If adopted, the proposed Oakridge-Westfir PM<sub>2.5</sub> Redesignation Request and 10-year Maintenance Plan and associated rule will be submitted to EPA for approval as part of Oregon's State Clean Air Act Implementation Plan.

## **How would the proposed rule address the need?**

As follow-up to the Oakridge-Westfir PM<sub>2.5</sub> Attainment Plan and as required the maintenance plan would ensure maintaining the National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) for PM<sub>2.5</sub> in the Oakridge-Westfir area.

## **How will LRAPA know the rule addressed the need?**

The PM<sub>2.5</sub> standard will be maintained during the 10-year maintenance period. The LRAPA air monitoring network will document that air quality in Oakridge meets the federal health standard.

# Rules affected, authorities, supporting documents

## Lead division

Lane Regional Air Protection Agency

## Program or activity

Local air quality agency

## Chapter 340 action

Amend OAR 340-200-0400

Statutory Authority - ORS				
468.020	468A.025	468A.035	468.A105	468.120
468.130				

Enter additional statutory authorities here if needed.

Statutes Implemented - ORS				
468A.035	468A.135	468A.150		

## Documents relied on for rulemaking

Document title	Document location
The federal Clean Air Act, EPA guidance for the development of attainment plans, guidance for the preparation of emissions inventories, and air quality modeling protocol.	<a href="http://www.lrapa.org">www.lrapa.org</a> Lane Regional Air Protection Agency 1010 Main Street Springfield, Oregon 97477
2016 Updated Oakridge-Westfir Attainment Plan	LRAPA website: <a href="https://www.lrapa.org/DocumentCenter/View/2108/Updated-Oakridge-Westfir-PM25-Attainment-Plan-EQC">https://www.lrapa.org/DocumentCenter/View/2108/Updated-Oakridge-Westfir-PM25-Attainment-Plan-EQC</a>

# Fee Analysis

This rulemaking does not involve fees.

# Statement of fiscal and economic impact

## Fiscal and Economic Impact

The proposed maintenance plan includes emission reduction strategies that can be implemented through rules and local ordinances. The proposed changes should not create barriers to economic growth. The largest impacts of this proposed plan will be to the wood-burning homeowner, as some of the emission reduction strategies may result in increased heating costs. However, the homeowner could also experience benefits as a result of the proposed plan through the improvement of air quality in Oakridge, potentially decreasing individual health care costs such as those related to asthma.

## Statement of Cost of Compliance

### State and federal agencies

The proposed rule has no fiscal or economic impact on state and federal agencies. However, maintenance plan implementation would include allowing the Smoke Safety Plan funded by DEQ to take effect. This Smoke Safety Plan allow the U.S. Forest Service and the Oregon Department of Forestry to conduct additional prescribed burning near the Oakridge to help defend against devastating wildfires.

### Local governments

The proposed rule has no fiscal or economic impact on local governments. However, maintenance plan implementation would include LRAPA continuing to fund the local air quality program with a combination of EPA Targeted Airshed Grant (TAG) funds and local funds. This rulemaking will likely result in some direct negative economic impacts to the city government through the implementation and enforcement of the ordinance. However, the Oakridge Air Program that implements the TAG includes funding of a dedicated code enforcement officer for the City of Oakridge to offset those negative economic impacts.

### Public

Heating costs: The proposed rule has no fiscal or economic impact on the public. However, maintenance plan implementation would include an existing city ordinance that increases restrictions on wood burning when weather conditions could lead to accumulation of particulate in the Oakridge area. The more curtailment (red) days called, the more costs could be accrued by wood-burning residents in terms of higher electric or oil heating costs. In the most likely scenario, a resident who burned three cords of wood and shifted to using more electric heat or other heat sources would see heating cost rise during the heating

season. These heating costs are variable depending on the alternative heat source used, the number of curtailment days called during the winter heating season, the cost to purchase cordwood or the transportation costs for a homeowner to cut and haul wood. This additional cost for non-wood fuels could be offset by the positive economic impact of lower health care costs and fewer missed work days if Oakridge is able to maintain particulate levels below standards.

## **Large businesses - businesses with more than 50 employees**

The proposed rule has no fiscal or economic impact on the large businesses. However, maintenance plan implementation would include application of existing rules regarding new and expanding industry.

Existing industry: There are no major existing industrial sources within the affected area.

New and expanding industry: Currently, new and expanding industrial sources within the Oakridge Nonattainment Area with emissions greater than 10 tons per year of PM<sub>2.5</sub> are required to install pollution control equipment based on the maximum degree of reduction (taking into account economic costs and environmental impacts), known as Best Available Control Technology, and to provide emission offsets. Oakridge first became subject to more stringent requirements for PM<sub>2.5</sub> in 2009, when EPA designated the Oakridge area as nonattainment for PM<sub>2.5</sub>. The Oakridge area then became a “Reattainment Area” for PM<sub>2.5</sub> in 2018 so that any new or expanding industrial source in Oakridge is required to install Best Available Control Technology in lieu of the more potentially costly Lowest Achievable Emission Rate controls. New and expanding industrial sources are also required to obtain emission offsets (i.e., offset their emission increases with equal emission reductions from other sources) and model their emissions to demonstrate that the proposed increase from their facility will not jeopardize compliance with health standards. Costs for Best Available Control Technology controls vary widely depending on the type of process being controlled, and the associated cost of modeling analysis can range from \$4,000 to \$6,000 per model run.

These potential costs, however, are all existing costs resulting from existing requirements. This proposed maintenance plan does not impose any new additional costs for new source review.

## **Small businesses – businesses with 50 or fewer employees**

The proposed rule has no fiscal or economic impact on the small businesses. However, maintenance plan implementation would include, like the costs summarized for large business above, potential costs that are all existing costs resulting from existing requirements. This proposed maintenance plan does not impose any new additional costs for new source review.

## **Cost of Compliance for Small Businesses**

### **1. Estimated number of small businesses and types of businesses and industries with small businesses subject to proposed rule.**

There is one minor LRAPA-permitted source, a rock crusher, located in the area affected by the proposed rules and plan. No new industrial rules are included in the proposal. Reasonably available control technology and fugitive dust control requirements already apply to existing facilities.

### **2. Projected reporting, recordkeeping and other administrative activities, including costs of professional services, required for small businesses to comply with the proposed rule.**

There is no expected cost from administrative activities and other professional services required of small businesses resulting from this proposed rule.

### **3. Projected equipment, supplies, labor and increased administration required for small businesses to comply with the proposed rule.**

Home heating retailers and installers will not face new requirements for equipment, supplies, labor or administration unless there is a need to account for the added woodstove replacements. This effect would be indirect and offset by positive economic benefits of increased sales.

### **4. Describe how LRAPA involved small businesses in developing this proposed rule.**

LRAPA did not involve small businesses in the development of this proposed rule as there is no expected impact on small businesses and other industry in the Oakridge-Westfir airshed.

## Documents relied on for fiscal and economic impact

Document title	Document location
2012 Oakridge-Westfir Attainment Plan	LRAPA website: <a href="https://www.lrapa.org/DocumentCenter/View/1148/Oakridge_Fine_Part particulate_Matter_Plan_10-31-2012_-_final">https://www.lrapa.org/DocumentCenter/View/1148/Oakridge_Fine_Part particulate_Matter_Plan_10-31-2012_-_final</a>
2016 Updated Oakridge-Westfir Attainment Plan	LRAPA website: <a href="https://www.lrapa.org/DocumentCenter/View/2108/Updated-Oakridge-Westfir-PM25-Attainment-Plan-EQC">https://www.lrapa.org/DocumentCenter/View/2108/Updated-Oakridge-Westfir-PM25-Attainment-Plan-EQC</a>
Oakridge-Westfir PM2.5 Redesignation Request and PM2.5 Maintenance Plan	LRAPA website: <a href="https://www.lrapa.org/DocumentCenter/View/5428/Oakridge-Westfir-PM25-Redesignation-Request-and-Maintenance-Plan-FINAL-with-appendices">https://www.lrapa.org/DocumentCenter/View/5428/Oakridge-Westfir-PM25-Redesignation-Request-and-Maintenance-Plan-FINAL-with-appendices</a>
LRAPA Staff Report on the 2017 Industrial Air Permitting Rules that included classifying the Oakridge area as a “Reattainment Area”	<a href="http://www.lrapa.org/DocumentCenter/View/2899/LRAPA-2017-Permitting-Rules-Staff-Report?bidId=">http://www.lrapa.org/DocumentCenter/View/2899/LRAPA-2017-Permitting-Rules-Staff-Report?bidId=</a>

## Advisory committee fiscal review

LRAPA did not appoint an advisory committee for fiscal review in the development of this proposed rule as there is no expected fiscal impact or other adverse impact on small businesses and other industry in the Oakridge-Westfir airshed. However, LRAPA has a standing advisory committee that meets most months. LRAPA consulted their Citizens Advisory Committee for this rulemaking and presented a summary of the changes to the committee at their March 30, 2021 meeting. The committee members that attended the meeting agreed to the proposed changes and had questions about LRAPA’s proposal. The requirement to discuss an advisory committee in this section is separate from and in addition to discussing the advisory committee in the Stakeholder Involvement section. The question to be addressed here is whether an advisory committee reviewed the fiscal impact statement.

## Housing cost

As ORS 183.534 requires, LRAPA evaluated whether the proposed rules would have an effect on the development cost of a 6,000-square-foot parcel and construction of a 1,200-squarefoot detached, single-family dwelling on that parcel. LRAPA has determined that this proposed rulemaking will have no effect on the cost of development of a 6,000 square foot parcel and the construction of a 1,200 square foot detached single family dwelling on that parcel.

# Federal relationship

This section complies with OAR 340-011-0029 and ORS 468A.327 to clearly identify the relationship between the proposed rules and applicable federal requirements.

The proposed rules add requirements additional to those in federal requirements. This rulemaking imposes additional requirements to implement the applicable federal requirements for compliance with particulate standards. Section 110 of the Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. §7410 requires DEQ/LRAPA to adopt a maintenance plan to reduce particulate matter of 2.5 micrometers and less (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) so that the Oakridge area maintains compliance with the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS). The plan must also show the area will continue to meet NAAQS in the future and provide contingency measures in case it fails. Federal requirements mandate adoption of a plan that demonstrates the area will reach attainment of the standard; however, the specific strategies to achieve the standard are not mandated.

The Oakridge PM<sub>2.5</sub> Maintenance Plan is a comprehensive mixture of emission reduction strategies consisting of local ordinances, LRAPA regulations, DEQ regulations, and non-regulatory elements including incentives and education. Residential wood combustion is the most significant contributor to PM<sub>2.5</sub> in Oakridge. The strategies targeting reduction in woodstove emissions include: revised woodstove curtailment levels to increase number of days when burning is restricted or prohibited, requiring removal of an uncertified woodstove upon sale of a home, tightening enforcement of wood stove curtailment, opacity limit on residential woodburning emissions, and expansion of educational efforts to reduce PM<sub>2.5</sub> from woodsmoke. The plan also requires public agencies to avoid prescribed burning if the smoke is expected to affect Oakridge.

If listed strategies fail to maintain attainment with the standard in the Oakridge area, a set of contingency strategies would become effective. These contingency measures include a stricter green-yellow-red advisory program, and prohibition of fireplace use not only on red days but also on yellow days.

Federal requirements set by EPA outline the procedures for preparing, adopting and submitting attainment plans, but Oregon has flexibility about how to meet the standards by establishing specific requirements.

## What alternatives did LRAPA consider if any?

The proposed strategies in the Oakridge area maintenance plan continue the successful strategies included in the 2016 Attainment Plan. In developing the proposed strategies for the 2016 Oakridge plan, LRAPA, the advisory committee and Oakridge City officials considered a number of alternatives. The proposed strategies were recommended over alternatives based on evaluation of their technological feasibility and environmental, health, economic, and social impacts. The advisory committee recommended two sets of strategies: an initial set of strategies that brought the community into compliance with the federal PM<sub>2.5</sub>

air quality standard by 2016 and a second set of contingency strategies, with stricter requirements for residents who use wood stoves, which would be implemented in the event that the federal PM<sub>2.5</sub> standard is exceeded in future years. Both sets of strategies are included in the Oakridge Maintenance Plan.

# Land use

## Land-use considerations

In adopting new or amended rules, ORS 197.180 and OAR 340-018-0070 require DEQ to determine whether the proposed rules significantly affect land use. If so, DEQ must explain how the proposed rules comply with state wide land-use planning goals and local acknowledged comprehensive plans.

Under OAR 660-030-0005 and OAR 340 Division 18, DEQ considers that rules affect land use if:

- The statewide land use planning goals specifically refer to the rule or program, or
- The rule or program is reasonably expected to have significant effects on:
- Resources, objects, or areas identified in the statewide planning goals, or
- Present or future land uses identified in acknowledge comprehensive plans

DEQ determined whether the proposed rules involve programs or actions that affect land use by reviewing its Statewide Agency Coordination plan. The plan describes the programs that DEQ determined significantly affect land use. DEQ considers that its programs specifically relate to the following statewide goals:

<b>Goal</b>	<b>Title</b>
5	Natural Resources, Scenic and Historic Areas, and Open Spaces
6	Air, Water and Land Resources Quality
11	Public Facilities and Services
16	Estuarine Resources
19	Ocean Resources

Statewide goals also specifically reference the following DEQ programs:

- Nonpoint source discharge water quality program – Goal 16
- Water quality and sewage disposal systems – Goal 16
- Water quality permits and oil spill regulations – Goal 19

## Determination

LRAPA determined that these proposed rules do not affect land use under OAR 340-018-0030 or DEQ’s State Agency Coordination Program.

# **EQC Prior Involvement**

LRAPA shared information about a related rulemaking with the EQC at the January 18, 2017 EQC meeting where the EQC approved the Oakridge area attainment plan.

# Advisory Committee

## Background

LRAPA has a standing Citizens Advisory Committee. The advisory committee provided feedback on the Oakridge-Westfir PM<sub>2.5</sub> Redesignation Request and PM<sub>2.5</sub> Maintenance Plan at their March 30, 2021 meeting. The committee includes representatives across Lane County from industry, agriculture, public health, and the general public. The committee’s webpage is located at: <https://www.lrapa.org/157/Advisory-Committee>

The committee members were:

Citizens Advisory Committee	
Name	Representing
Jim Daniels – Chair	Large Industry
Kathleen Lamberg – Vice Chair	General Public
Kelly Wood	Industry
Paul Metzler	General Public
Evelina Davidova-Kamis	Industry
Terry Richardson	General Public
Link Smith	Fire Suppression
Jeff Carman	Public Health
Jack Carter	Industry
Gery Vander Meer (Absent)	General Public
Shane Ruddell (Absent)	Agriculture

## Meeting notifications

LRAPA notified people about the advisory committee’s activities by:

- Posting the meeting on the LRAPA website:
  - <http://www.lrapa.org/AgendaCenter/ViewFile/Agenda/03302021-159>
- Sending a one-time notice to Citizen Advisory Committee subscribers to describe how to sign up for advisory committee meeting notices, and people who signed up for the advisory committee bulletin.
- Adding advisory committee announcements to LRAPA’s calendar of public meetings at [LRAPA Calendar](#).

## **Committee discussions**

The Citizens Advisory Committee discussion began with questions of the work LRAPA has conducted in the Oakridge-Westfir to reach attainment. Questions were asked about the impact of wildfires on the airshed's ability to stay in attainment. Discussion was had on the impact on the community and if the redesignation would alter any of the home wood heating programs in Oakridge. No recommendations were made by the Citizens Advisory Committee.

# Public Engagement

## Public notice

LRAPA and DEQ provided notice of the proposed rulemaking and rulemaking hearing by:

- On July 30, 2021, filing notice with the Oregon Secretary of State for publication in the August 1, 2021 Oregon Bulletin;
- Notifying the EPA by e-mail;
- Posting the Notice, Invitation to Comment and Draft Rules on the web page for this rulemaking, located at: <http://www.lrapa.org/270/Proposed-Rules>;
- Emailing 20,730 interested parties on the following DEQ lists through GovDelivery:
  - Rulemaking
  - DEQ Public Notices
  - Air Quality Maintenance Plans
- Emailing 232 stakeholders on the LRAPA Rulemaking list NotifyMe
- Emailing the following key legislators
  - Senator Peter Courtney
  - Senator Lee Beyer
  - State Representative Tina Kotek
  - Representative Pam Marsh
- Emailing advisory committee members,
- Posting on the DEQ event calendar: [DEQ Calendar](#)
- Enter other notices here
- Publishing notice in the following newspapers:
  - Register Guard (Eugene) August 1, 2021
  - Highway 58 Herald (Oakridge) August 1, 2021

## Public Hearing

LRAPA held one public hearing. LRAPA received no comments at the hearing. Later sections of this document include a summary of the 2 comments received during the open public comment period, LRAPA's responses, and a list of the commenters. Original comments are on file with LRAPA.

Prior to the hearing on September 9, 2021, DEQ authorized LRAPA on behalf of the Environmental Quality Commission under OAR 137-001- 0030, Conduct of Rulemaking Hearings, to act as Hearings Officer for the public comment process of adopting these proposed plans as revisions to the State of Oregon Clean Air Act Implementation Plan. In the same letter dated September 7, 2021, DEQ also determined the maintenance plan to be at least as stringent as comparable maintenance plans previously developed and adopted by DEQ.

## Presiding Officers' Record

### Hearing

Date	September 9, 2021
Place	Via Zoom
Start Time	12:33 PM
End Time	12:43 PM
Presiding Officer	Board Chair Joe Pishioneri

### Presiding Officer:

The presiding officer convened the hearing, summarized procedures for the hearing, and explained that LRAPA was recording the hearing. The presiding officer asked people who wanted to present verbal comments to sign the registration list, or if attending by phone, to indicate their intent to present comments. The presiding officer advised all attending parties interested in receiving future information about the rulemaking to sign up for LRAPA and/or GovDelivery email notices.

As Oregon Administrative Rule 137-001-0030 requires, the presiding officer summarized the content of the rulemaking notice.

No person presented any oral testimony or written comments.

# Summary of Public Comments and LRAPA Responses

## Public comment period

LRAPA accepted public comment on the proposed rulemaking from August 1, 2021 until 12:43 p.m. on September 9, 2021.

For public comments received by the close of the public comment period, the following table organizes comments into 4 categories with cross references to the commenter number. LRAPA’s response follows the summary. Original comments are on file with LRAPA.

LRAPA changed the proposed rules in response to comments described in the response sections below.

### Summary of Public Comment and Agency Response

**Title of Rulemaking:** Oakridge-Westfir PM<sub>2.5</sub> Maintenance Plan and redesignation Request

**Prepared by:** LRAPA Staff and Merlyn Hough **Date:** October 8, 2021

<b>Comment Period</b>	The public comment period opened on Sunday, August 1, 2021, and closed on Thursday, September 9, 2021.
<b>Organization of comments and responses</b>	<p>This document summarizes public comment received and LRAPA’s responses on Oakridge PM<sub>2.5</sub> Maintenance Plan and Redesignation Request.</p> <p>A public hearing was held at the LRAPA Board meeting on September 9, 2021.</p> <p>Comments are summarized by issue category. All persons who provided comments are listed at the back of this document and the comment/response number follows each commenter.</p>
<b>Total Number of Comments</b>	2 comments were received during the comment period.

<b>1. Clarification</b>
<b>a. Executive Summary</b>
<b>1.a.) Comment:</b> Executive Summary <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Include the 2006 24-hour PM<sub>2.5</sub> standard within the contingency statement for a future reader’s quick reference to contingency triggers.</li> </ul>
<b>Response:</b> LRAPA added “(35 µg/m <sup>3</sup> )” to the Section 8.3 Contingency Plan.
<b>b. Emission inventories</b>
<b>1.b.) Comment:</b> Appendix III (Emission Inventories for Future Years 2025 – 2035)

- Add supporting information to the conclusion that fuel cleanliness is the primary reason for the expected reduction in motor vehicle emissions.

**Response:** LRAPA added supporting information about federal requirements for progressively cleaner vehicles and fuels, and how EPA’s MOVES model accounts for these federal requirements that result in expected emission reductions in motor vehicle emissions.

**c. Motor Vehicle Emission**

**1.c.) Comment:** Appendix IV (Motor Vehicle Emissions Budget)

- Explain significant anticipated reductions in emissions between 2015 and 2025 from primary exhaust (Table D) (in particular, is reduction expected from diesel idling reduction and instituting a diesel inspection and maintenance program?)
- Include description of the MOVES modeling methodology for on road control measures to assist future readers (e.g. reduce need to find original MOVES files or descriptions in older plans).
- Include the safety margin calculation and show how motor vehicle budget connects with the overall PM2.5 budget and meeting NAAQS attainment.

**Response:** Similar to the response to 1.b above, LRAPA added supporting information about federal requirements for progressively cleaner vehicles and fuels, and how EPA’s MOVES model accounts for these federal requirements that result in expected emission reductions in motor vehicle emissions. LRAPA also added links to the MOVES input files and provided more detail on the safety margin calculation in how it relates to the Motor Vehicle Emission Budget (MVEB).

**d. Home Wood Heating Ordinances**

**1.d.) Comment:** Appendix VI (Home Wood Heating Ordinances)

Please consider these comments at the time of ordinance updates or revisions.

- The “Oregon method” for measuring PM emissions is outdated and Division 21 rules are no longer on the books; the ordinance should reference current methods of woodstove emission measurement, such as EPA methods (e.g. [https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2017-08/documents/method\\_28.pdf](https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2017-08/documents/method_28.pdf)).
- DEQ recommends keeping a list of the stove types at each location with Certificate of Compliance records; state in the ordinance that a certificate may be revoked if it is found that a non-certified stove has been installed.
- We noted a discrepancy with Oregon’s Heat Smart rules: The ordinance states that woodstoves may stay on property offered for sale if they meet certain grams/hour requirements, whereas Heat Smart bans woodstoves that are not certified. Consider noting discrepancies with Heat Smart in communications about the ordinance to alleviate confusion about Heat Smart applicability.
- Consider clarifying in Section 3 that the ordinance applies to rental property. The title implies the section only applies upon sale of property, but subsection (1) indicates that un-certified devices contained on “Property to be sold *or* rented” must be removed.

**Response:** LRAPA appreciates these comments and will reach out to the City of Oakridge and ask for future coordination on relevant HWH ordinances.

	<i>Commenter</i>	<i>Affiliation</i>	<i>Comment Response #</i>
1	Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) Air Quality Division Karen Font Williams – Air Quality Planner	DEQ Air Quality Division 700 NE Multnomah Street, Suite 600 Portland , Oregon 97232	1.a. – 1.d.

# Implementation

## Notification

The critical elements of the proposed plan are already in effect under the City of Oakridge Air Pollution Control Ordinances and ongoing programs of the Lane Regional Air Protection Agency (LRAPA).

The proposed rules would become effective upon filing on approximately November 22, 2021 and would be submitted to EPA immediately thereafter. DEQ would notify LRAPA by email, and LRAPA would similarly notify the City of Oakridge.

## Compliance and enforcement

LRAPA and Oakridge staff are already trained in the air monitoring, forecasting, compliance, enforcement and reporting functions necessary for implementation of the proposed plan.

## Measuring, sampling, monitoring and reporting

The PM<sub>2.5</sub> standard will be maintained during the 10-year maintenance period. The LRAPA air monitoring network will document that air quality in Oakridge meets the federal health standard.

# Five Year Review

## Requirement

Oregon law requires DEQ to review new rules within five years after EQC adopts them. The law also exempts some rules from review. DEQ determined whether the rules described in this report are subject to the five-year review. DEQ based its analysis on the law in effect when EQC adopted these rules.

## Exemption from five-year rule review

The Administrative Procedures Act exempts all of the proposed rules from the five-year review because the proposed rules would amend or repeal an existing rule. ORS 183.405(4).

# Accessibility Information

You may review copies of all documents referenced in this announcement at:

Lane Regional Air Protection Agency

1010 Main Street

Springfield, OR, 97477

To schedule a review of all websites and documents referenced in this announcement, call Robbye Robinson, PHONE NO. 877-285-7272, ext. 214 (toll-free) or Max Hueftle, PHONE NO. 877-285-7272, ext. 231 (toll-free)

DEQ can provide documents in an alternate format or in a language other than English upon request. Call DEQ at 800-452-4011 or email [deqinfo@deq.state.or.us](mailto:deqinfo@deq.state.or.us).